

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2010-11 School Year

Published During 2011-12

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

I. Data and Access

EdData Partnership Web Site

EdData is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis Management and Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest webpage at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible. Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2011-12)

School		District	
School Name	Indian Diggings Elementary School	District Name	Indian Diggings Elementary School District
Street	6020 Omo Ranch Rd.	Phone Number	530-620-6546
City, State, Zip	Somerset, CA 95684-9209	Web Site	indiandiggingschool.com
Phone Number	530-620-6546	Superintendent	Rusty Vardy
Principal	J. Rusty Vardy	E-mail Address	rvardy@edcoe.org
E-mail Address	rvardy@edcoe.org	CDS Code	09618956005524

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

Indian Diggings School is located in the southern end of El Dorado County in the small community of Omo Ranch. It is the only school in the district and has one self-contained, multi-grade classroom. The one room has grades Kindergarten-8th. Current enrollment is 21.

Several years ago, the district selected a committee of parents, board members and staff members to develop a Five-Year Long-Range Plan for the district. The committee developed a mission statement to focus the students, staff, and parents on our purpose.

"Through love, support, and encouragement, we are developing lifelong learners who will constructively contribute to the world around them and will positively affect the lives of others." They established goals in the following areas: 1) facilities, 2) curriculum, 3) staff development, 4) extracurricular activities, and 5) personnel. The district has made significant gains regarding these goals during the past three years.

There are about a half-dozen (six) families residing in the district having children attending school; however, parent volunteers participate actively and support students by assisting in school activities and helping teachers with classroom instruction. A Parent/Community Club contributes generously to extracurricular activities.

Several years ago we conducted a parent survey to find out what parents thought about our school. Surveys were returned by 88% of our families. The purpose of the survey was to determine parents' attitudes and concerns about the school. We used a survey that was prepared by the county Office of Education. We did this to create an objective evaluation of our school performance based on parent input.

If a grade were given based on the survey, the results would yield a grade of A-. Realizing that surveys are not the only means of evaluating a school, we have conducted Self Reviews and Program Quality Reviews. The results have been positive and they are used to improve the school. We continue to use our five-year plan, School Site Council, and Indian Diggings Volunteer Club to make improvements to our school.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information on how parents can become involved in school activities, including contact information pertaining to organized opportunities for parent involvement.

Parents are an essential part of our school program. They may volunteer in classrooms. They may correct papers. They may teach special interest classes. They may participate in the Indian Diggings Volunteer Club which raises money for special field trips and camps. During the 2010-2011 school year, we had a large increase in the number of volunteer hours. This trend continues throughout the 2011-2012 school year. All you need to do to participate is to contact Mr. Vardy @ 530-620-6546 or call the same number and speak to the Volunteer Club president.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2010-11)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	2
Grade 1	4
Grade 2	2
Grade 3	4
Grade 4	4
Grade 5	0
Grade 6	1
Grade 7	0
Grade 8	1
Total Enrollment	18

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2010-11)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0	White	100
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	Two or More Races	0
Asian	0	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	11.1
Filipino	0	English Learners	0
Hispanic or Latino	0	Students with Disabilities	27.8
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0		

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2008-09				2009-10				2010-11			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Other	14	2	0	0	11	2	0	0	18	1		

* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

III. School Climate

School Safety Plan (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

The Comprehensive School Safety Plan is included in our Single School Plan. It is revised and re-authorized each year at the June School Site Council Meeting. The SSC serves as the advisory committee for the Comprehensive School Safety Plan. It was approved in June of 2009. In August of 2011, the plan was reviewed with all existing and new staff members.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District		
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Suspensions	3.85	0	0	3.85	0	0
Expulsions	0	0	0	0	0	0

* The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment (and multiplying by 100).

IV. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

Year and month in which data were collected:

We take pride in our school facility, and it is cleaned daily. Our building is 54 years old and is of adequate size for our current enrollment. A regular maintenance and repair schedule is budgeted annually. The two classrooms, multipurpose room, fields, blacktop, and playground are modern and more than adequate for our present student population.

The single-floor, wood-frame facility with easily accessible classroom exits is very safe in the event of fires or earthquakes. There are safety rules, fire and earthquake preparedness instruction and adequate playground supervision. A comprehensive safe school plan was adopted in 1998 and was amended in the fall of 2002 and again in 2006 and 2009. It was reviewed by all staff in August of 2011.

In our last parent survey, 100% of the parents felt their children were safe at school and that there is adequate playground supervision and playground equipment. One hundred percent of the parents indicated that the buildings and playgrounds are clean, adequate and safe. An irrigated play field adds to the safety of play areas. The rest rooms, floors, walls, roof, plumbing and electrical systems are clean, adequate, and in excellent condition. All efforts to ensure building safety, cleanliness, and adequacy have been successful. In the fall of 2002, new siding, painting, and water system improvements were made through various funding sources. In 2006, we developed a Community Safety Kiosk and added a 25 KW generator to provide the school with power during emergency incidents. The school was painted during the summer of 2009.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The Overall Rating (bottom row)

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[X]	[]	[]	[]	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[X]	[]	[]	[]	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[X]	[]	[]	[]	
Electrical: Electrical	[X]	[]	[]	[]	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[X]	[]	[]	[]	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[X]	[]	[]	[]	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[X]	[]	[]	[]	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[X]	[]	[]	[]	
Overall Rating	[X]	[]	[]	[]	

V. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2010-11
With Full Credential	2	2	1	1
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0	---

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

** "Vacant Teacher Positions" refer to positions not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2010-11)

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* webpage at: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/>

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by	
	NCLB Compliant Teachers	Non-NCLB Compliant Teachers
This School	100	0
All Schools in District	100	0
High-Poverty Schools in District	0	0
Low-Poverty Schools in District	100	0

* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

VI. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2010-11)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	N/A	N/A
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	N/A	---
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	N/A	---
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	N/A	---
Psychologist	N/A	---
Social Worker	N/A	---
Nurse	N/A	---
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	N/A	---
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	N/A	---
Other	N/A	---

* One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2011-12)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: August 2011

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Houghton Mifflin (2002-08), Reading. Houghton Mifflin (2002-08), English. Grades 7-8 Glencoe (2002-08). Houghton Mifflin Literary Readers and Literature, grades K-4, supplemental. Scholastic, Inc. Phonetic Skills Program, grades 1-2, supplemental.	Yes	0
Mathematics	K-6: Houghton Mifflin, California Math (2008). 7-8: Holt, Pre-Algebra and Algebra I 2008	Yes	0
Science	Holt Science (2007).	Yes	0

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
History-Social Science	Houghton Mifflin and Prentice Hall (2007).	Yes	0
Foreign Language	N/A		N/A
Health	N/A		N/A
Visual and Performing Arts	Slides, art posters, art guides, curriculum guides, and curriculum materials.		0

VIII. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009-10)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental/ Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic/ Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$12,096	\$2,847	\$9,249	\$69,519
District	---	---	\$9,249	
Percent Difference: School Site and District	---	---	0%	0%
State	---	---	\$5,455	\$57,071
Percent Difference: School Site and State	---	---	67.8%	23.5%

* **Supplemental/Restricted** expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.

** **Basic/Unrestricted expenditures** are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/>. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: <http://www.ed-data.org>.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assist students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

Special services provided by the school and county Office of Education are: special education (including transportation); psychologist for testing and evaluation; school nurse; library services; audiovisual services at the Resource Center; Chapter VI funds for library and reference texts; EIA, and SIP. When students are eligible and willing to participate in the program, a school lunch program is provided by a local deli. This year there are no participants.

The teacher serves as the teacher/principal/superintendent. He teaches full time, and performs most administrative duties before and after school hours. He spends approximately 90% of his time teaching grades Kindergarten-8th. His base salary was \$90,072 for 2007-2008, \$95,000 for 2008-2009, \$96,900 for 2009-2010. The combined Superintendent/Principal/Teacher salary for 2010-2011 was \$99,000. For 2011-2012, the combined salary was \$108,000.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009-10)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary		\$38,744
Mid-Range Teacher Salary		\$55,509
Highest Teacher Salary		\$70,567
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)		\$92,338
Average Principal Salary (Middle)		\$96,427
Average Principal Salary (High)		\$94,401
Superintendent Salary		\$109,381
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	44%	37%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6%	7%

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

IX. Student Performance

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- California Standards Tests (CSTs), which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- California Modified Assessment (CMA), an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA), includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at <http://star.cde.ca.gov>.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

Subject	School			District			State		
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
English-Language Arts	77	53	36	77	53	36	49	52	54
Mathematics	71	42	27	71	42	27	46	48	50
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0	50	54	57
History-Social Science	0	0	0	0	0	0	41	44	48

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science
All Students in the LEA	36	27	0	0
All Student at the School	36	27	0	0
Male	0	0	0	0
Female	0	0	0	0
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino				
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander				
White	36	27	0	0
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	0	0	0	0
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities	0	0	0	0
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2010-11)

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/>.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

X. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2008	2009	2010
Statewide	7	8	4
Similar Schools	N/A		

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - Three-Year Comparison

Group	Actual API Change		
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
All Students at the School	36	-68	-81
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino			
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			
Two or More Races	N/D		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged			
English Learners			
Students with Disabilities			

* "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - 2011 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2011 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

Group	2011 Growth API					
	School		LEA		State	
	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API
All Students at the School	11	696	11	696	4,683,676	778
Black or African American	0		0		317,856	696
American Indian or Alaska Native	0		0		33,774	733
Asian	0		0		398,869	898
Filipino	0		0		123,245	859
Hispanic or Latino	0		0		2,406,749	729
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0		0		26,953	764
White	11	696	11	696	1,258,831	845
Two or More Races	0		0		76,766	836
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	1		1		2,731,843	726
English Learners	0		0		1,521,844	707
Students with Disabilities	3		3		521,815	595

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2010-11)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	No
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	No	No
Met API Criteria	N/A	N/A
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	N/A	N/A

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2011-12)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations webpage: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp>.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	0
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	0

XI. Instructional Planning and Scheduling**Professional Development**

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period. Questions that may be answered include:

- What are the primary/major areas of focus for staff development and specifically how were they selected? For example, were student achievement data used to determine the need for professional development in reading instruction?
- What are the methods by which professional development is delivered (e.g., after school workshops, conference attendance, individual mentoring, etc.)?
- How are teachers supported during implementation (e.g., through in-class coaching, teacher-principal meetings, student performance data reporting, etc.)?

The district holds between 6-8 minimum days per year. Three to five of these days are used to provide opportunities for staff to update skills and to learn about current research and trends in public education. Many of these days are set aside to work on Multiple Measures as a method to determine student success and eligibility for acceleration, promotion, and retention. The activities are determined by the staff and administration collaboratively. They discuss their needs and then self select activities for staff development. Weekend workshops and "Learning Communities" are used as a method for delivering some of the activities. Teachers are supported through mileage reimbursement, food, fees, and materials needed to participate in the various activities.